

DRAFT MINUTES
CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

June 14-15, 2000
San Jose, California

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission was called to order at 3:25 p.m. on June 14, 2000 at the San Jose City Council Chambers, 801 North First Street, San Jose, California.

The Commissioners' attendance was as follows:

Members Present: James Kellogg, Chairman
 Jeremiah Hallisey, Vice Chairman
 Robert Abernethy
 Roger Kozberg
 Allen Lawrence
 Esteban Torres
 Robert Wolf

Members Absent: Senator Betty Karnette, ex-officio
 Assemblyman Tom Torlakson

The meeting recessed at 5:25 p.m. and reconvened on June 15 at 8:35 a.m.

The Commissioners' attendance was as follows:

Members Present: James Kellogg, Chairman
 Jeremiah Hallisey, Vice Chairman
 Robert Abernethy
 Roger Kozberg
 Allen Lawrence
 Esteban Torres
 Robert Wolf

Members Absent: Senator Betty Karnette, ex-officio
 Assemblyman Tom Torlakson, ex-officio

Chairman Kellogg announced the need for a closed session and the regular meeting recessed at 8:36 a.m. and reconvened at 9:35 a.m. Chairman Kellogg announced that no action had been taken in the closed session.

1. GENERAL MATTERS

1.(1) Approval of Minutes for May 10-11, 2000 Meeting

Chairman Kellogg presented the May 10-11, 2000 meeting minutes for approval. Commissioner Torres moved to approve the minutes. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

1.(2) Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation

Chairman Kellogg presented the List of Commissioners' meetings for compensation. Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the List. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

1.(3) Information Calendar

Deputy Director Stephen Maller summarized the Information Calendar noting that Item 2.2c. had been added to the Information Calendar. Mr. Maller also reported that FY 99-00 STIP delivery through the June CTC meeting stood at 85% for Caltrans and 90% for the Local/Regional Agencies.

1.(4) Consent Calendar

Paul Huber, representing Senator Schiff, spoke in support of Items 2.5c(3) and 2.6c(1).

Deputy Director Robert Chung presented the Consent Calendar and discussed the changes to the Consent Calendar. The changes were as follows:

Item 2.3c Relinquishment Resolution #R-3450 was pulled by the Department prior to the meeting.

Item 2.4b Resolution of Necessity #C-18119 was pulled by the Department prior to the meeting.

Item 2.5b.(2) Project #11 Agenda vote List and book Item Attachment was revised to reflect the correct project location which is "in Los Angeles, southwest quadrant Junction 2/135 separation".

Item 2.5c.(1) Allocations for 43 Local Assistance STIP Projects changes were: project #16 recipient changed to the City of Berkeley; project #17 recipient changed to the City of Fremont; and project #33 programmed amount for PS&E changed to \$20,000. The revised allocation was \$44,887,000.

Item 2.5c.(3) Allocation for Local Assistance for TEA Projects was changed to \$11,128,000 for 16 project: Projects #2 and #3 were withdrawn by the Department and Project #6 recipient was corrected to Santa Barbara County.

Item 2.5c.(5) Project #2 was corrected to reflect that the recipient was the County of Sacramento.

Item 2.6c.(1) Allocation for 8 STIP Rail/Transit Project #4 allocation was reduced from \$450,000 to \$225,000 to reflect the new estimate.

Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the Consent Calendar as revised. Commissioner Kozberg seconded the motion which carried 6-0 (Commissioner Torres was absent).

1.(5) Commission Reports**Report on Public Transit Committee (PTC) Meeting**

Commissioner Abernethy presented the Public Transit Committee Report and Committee recommendations. He stated that three improvements included under Agenda Item 2.1a(14), the Roseville Station improvements, Auburn Station improvements, and the Auburn Signal and Track improvements were recommended for approval. However, the fourth improvement under this Agenda Item, the Roseville Third Track improvement project, was held over 30 to 90 days, pending resolution of the funding for preliminary engineering, design and the capital facility. He moved to approve the Committee recommendations. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

The following action items occurred during the PTC Committee meeting:

- Invite Gil Mallery, CEO Amtrak West, to appear before the PTC within the next 90 days to present Amtrak's new five-year business plan, and review it against prior five year plan last presented to the Commission in October 1995.

ACTION: R. Chung

- Document the added benefits, including improved on-time reliability, from the third track project (Agenda Item 2.1a(14)). Along with the documented reliability, also include capability for additional trains against a realistic schedule for deploying those trains.

ACTION: R. Chung/G. Skoropowski

- Schedule a meeting of representatives from Placer County, SACOG, the Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority, Amtrak, Caltrans, and Union Pacific to discuss a partnership for completing both the design and construction of the Roseville third track (Agenda Item 2.1a(14)).

ACTION: R. Chung

- Schedule for action at the July meeting, and invite the City of Alameda to attend and clarify how they intend to use any recovered proceeds over and above legal costs.

ACTION: R. Chung

Report on Highway & Interregional Mobility Committee (HIMC) Meeting

Vice Chairman Hallisey presented the HIMC report. The following action items occurred during the HIMC meeting:

- Schedule for the July meeting and monthly thereafter, an update on the schedule for the Prunedale bypass with a target of reducing the delivery time in half.

ACTION: J. Nicholas/B. Hull

- Develop timelines for history of the Prunedale bypass and present at the July meeting.

ACTION: S. Maller/J. Nicholas

- Schedule for the September meeting an update of projects in the Route 1 corridor in and near Carmel.

ACTION: J. Nicholas

- Schedule for early next year a status report on the efforts of joint development of roadside rests.

ACTION: G. Bush

- Continue to work with Vice Chairman Hallisey in briefings on the Department's organization issues.

ACTION: T. Harris

Commissioner Wolf expressed his dissatisfaction with the timelines on the Prunedale Bypass and the environmental review issues. He moved to seek the support of the BT&H Agency in using the Prunedale Bypass as a case study for environmental streamlining. Vice Chairman Hallisey seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

ACTION: B. Remen

Commissioner Wolf discussed commitments to the I-5 Western Avenue Interchange Project and the assumption that MTA would fully fund the \$14 million project. David Grannis, MTA, discussed the project schedule noting that it was scheduled to be delivered in 2003. Commissioner Lawrence moved to advance the project with AB 1012 and ITIP funding to advance the project. Commissioner Wolf seconded the motion which carried 7-0. The Department was asked to schedule for the July Commission meeting a report on how to fully fund the project with the transfer of ITIP funding from other Interregional projects programmed within Los Angeles County.

ACTION: J. Nicholas

Commissioner Lawrence reported on his attendance as the Commission's representative at the initial Task Force meeting, with BT&H Secretary Contreras-Sweet, on management information systems, pursuant to AB 1012 ; he also reported on his attendance at the Amtrak Surfliner grand opening.

Commissioner Kozberg discussed the June 7, 2000 AAC meeting noting that it had 4 new members. He also complimented the efforts of the AAC Liaison Rick Gumz.

Chairman Kellogg reported on his testimony at the legislative hearings on Governor's Initiative with Executive Director Remen. He also reported on his meeting with the City of San Francisco to discuss the Central Freeway Project which resulted in his request to pull Item 2.3a.

Executive Director Remen reported that the December Commission Meeting had been changed from December 6-7 to December 5-6, 2000.

1.(6) Business, Transportation and Housing Agency Report

John Ferrera, BT&H, introduced Rick Vargas and Larry Magid of the BT&H Agency staff and discussed staff assignments. He noted that he would discuss the Governor's Transportation Initiative later.

1.(7) Caltrans Report

Chairman Kellogg introduced and welcomed Jeff Morales as the new Director of Caltrans. Director Morales discussed his mandate for directing Caltrans' activities.

1.(8) Regional Agencies Report

Regional Agencies Moderator Vic Kamhi reported that Debbie Hale would assume the Regional Agencies Moderator role next month and thanked the Commission for its support.

He also discussed the proposed federal regulations on state planning.

1.(9) Welcome and Presentation by Mayor Ron Gonzales on San Jose Transportation Programs

Ron Gonzales, Mayor of San Jose, welcomed the Commission to San Jose. He discussed traffic congestion in the East Bay and discussed plans for dealing with the problem, such as interim commuter rail service, highway improvements, and a proposed extension of BART from Fremont to San Jose. The Commission asked staff to secure from the City of San Jose projections of ridership for BART to San Jose.

ACTION: R. Chung

Commissioner Abernethy and Mayor Gonzales discussed the use of high density housing near the stations and Mayor Gonzales discussed housing programs in San Jose.

2. PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS**2.1 Program Amendments/Project Approvals****2.1a. STIP Amendments for Action****2.1a.(1) STIP Amendment 98S-121 - Action**

Delays and adjusts programming for 36 projects in 19 counties, with a net change in programming by Fiscal Year (FY) of: \$329,000 increase in FY 1999-00; \$337,474,000 decrease in FY 2000-01; \$184,455,000 increase in FY 2001-02; \$116,656,000 increase in FY 2002-03; and \$36,034,000 increase in FY 2003-04. In addition, this amendment advances \$4,711,000 of Interregional Improvement Program funds and adds \$169,000 from Shasta County's Unprogrammed Share Balance. The Department and the respective Regional Transportation Planning Agencies request approval of this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1a.(2) STIP Amendment 98S-122 - Action

Deletes \$3,154,000, and reprograms \$12,683,000, in Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) match reserve for the Counties of Contra Costa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano and Sonoma. In addition, it programs three new projects for a total of \$2,383,000 in the Counties of San Francisco (\$1,387,000) and San Mateo (\$996,000). The unprogrammed share balance for the Counties of San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano and Sonoma remains unchanged and \$771,000 of Contra Costa County's CMAQ match reserve reverts back to Contra Costa County's unprogrammed share balance. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is requesting this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1a.(3) STIP Amendment 98S-123 - Action

Programs a total of \$6,245,000, for ten new projects and one existing project, and revises two existing projects in the five Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Humboldt, Plumas, and Tehama. Funding for the amendment includes a total of \$5,745,000 from the Unprogrammed County Shares Balances of the five counties and requests \$500,000 of Advance Project Development Element (APDE) funding in Butte County. The Five Regional Transportation Planning Agencies are requesting this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1a.(4) STIP Amendment 98S-124 - Action

Programs \$2,199,000 from the unprogrammed county shares for two new projects in Madera and Tuolumne Counties and revises three existing projects in Fresno, San Benito and Santa Barbara Counties. The Counties of Madera, Tuolumne, Fresno, San Benito and Santa Barbara are requesting this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1a.(5) STIP Amendment 98S-125 - Action

Deletes \$6,071,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funding from ten projects in Los Angeles County, replacing the funding with local funds, and revises three existing projects in Los Angeles, Orange and San Diego Counties. In addition, \$30,932,000 in STIP (RIP) funds from Los Angeles County's Unprogrammed Share Balance replace Proposition C funds on the Route 30 project. The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA), Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA), San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) and the Department are requesting this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1a.(6) STIP Amendment 98S-126 - Action

Deletes a \$5,932,000 Interregional Improvement Program (IIP)-funded passing lane project on Route 46 in San Luis Obispo County and reprograms \$5,000,000 in IIP funds to a Route 46 widening project in the same location, with the remaining \$932,000 reverting to the Statewide IIP balance. The Department is requesting this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1a.(7) STIP Amendment 98S-127 - Action

Splits a programmed project on Interstate 5 in Yolo County into two phases and adds additional local funding to the second phase. The City of Woodland, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) and the Department are requesting this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1a.(8) STIP Amendment 98S-128 - Action

Transfers a portion of the scope and \$5,250,000 of Grandfathered Interregional Improvement (GF-IIP) construction funds from a Highway 70 widening project (PPNO 0366C) in Yuba County to a new Highway 70 Motorplex Interchange project (PPNO 9725B). In addition, this amendment requests a \$4,800,000 IIP advance to cover cost increases and designation of four currently programmed Route 70 projects from the Route 99/70 split to McGowan Parkway as a corridor project. Right of way (R/W) funding for PPNO 0366C will be displayed in FY 1998-99 and R/W funding for PPNO 0289B will be displayed in FY 1999-00 to be consistent with STIP guidelines. Construction support for PPNO 0289B will be moved from FY 2002-03 to 2003-04 to be consistent with current project schedule. This amendment also programs \$4,162,000 from Yuba County's Unprogrammed Balance for two projects to construct a new interchange. Yuba County, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG), and the Department are requesting this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1a.(9) STIP Amendment 98S-130 - Action

Delays the construction components of a widening project on Route 84 (Jefferson Boulevard) in West Sacramento in Yolo County from FY 2000-01 to FY 2001-02, reduces the project scope, and reduces local funding. The cost savings of \$3,528,000 in Construction capital will be transferred to R/W capital. Capital Grandfathered Regional Improvement Program (GF-RIP) funds remain unchanged, however, the grandfathered support costs have increased by \$1,384,000. The GF-RIP R/W component has been programmed in FY 1999-00 to be consistent with the STIP Guidelines. The total project cost is \$18,890,000. The City of West Sacramento, Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) and the Department are requesting this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1a.(10) STIP Amendment 98S-131 - Action

Combines three programmed projects on Routes 70, 99 and 149 in Butte County, and delays the combined project from FY 2000-01 to FY 2001-02. The total combined project cost is \$65,268,000 including \$59,144,000 in Grandfathered Interregional Improvement Program (GF-IIP) funding and \$6,124,000 in Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funding. There is no change in GF-IIP or IIP funding. GF-IIP R/W funding is programmed in the FY 1998-99 to be consistent with the current STIP Guidelines. The Department is requesting this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1a.(11) STIP Amendment 98S-132 - Action

Deletes \$26,000,000 (\$12,673,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds and \$13,327,000 in Grandfathered Regional Improvement Program (GF-RIP) funds) from the \$40,423,000 Marin 101 Southbound HOV Lane project in Marin County currently programmed for construction in FY 2000-01. The deleted \$26,000,000 will be backfilled with local Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds and the remaining \$14,423,000 of GF-RIP funds will be reprogrammed for construction as follows: \$2,800,000 in FY 2000-01; \$9,823,000 in FY 2001-02 and \$1,800,000 in FY 2003-04. The total cost of the Marin 101 Southbound HOV Lane project remains unchanged. In addition, this amendment programs \$26,000,000 from Marin County's unprogrammed share balance to the Golden Gate Bridge Seismic Retrofit Phase II project in the FY 2000-01. The total cost for the Phase II project is \$120,000,000, with \$94,000,000 funded by a combination of local funds. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is requesting this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1a.(12) STIP Amendment 98S-133 - Action

Reduces the Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funding for a habitat mitigation project in San Bernardino County by \$5,537,000, from \$9,196,000 to \$3,659,000, and advances the schedule from FY 2002-03 to FY 2000-01. The \$5,537,000 in total project savings will revert to the statewide IIP balance. The Department is requesting this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1a.(13) STIP Amendment 98S-134 - Action

Revises the scope of Route 180 East project in Fresno County from a 4-lane freeway to a 6-lane freeway, delays the project construction from FY 2000-01 to FY 2001-02, and advances an additional \$17,097,000 from the Regional Improvement Program (RIP) to fund the cost increases. The advance from Fresno's future county share will be increased from \$30,375,000 to \$47,472,000. The total project cost is increased from \$78,045,000 to \$95,142,000. The Council of Fresno County Governments (Fresno COG) is requesting this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1a.(14) STIP Amendment 98S-135 - Action

Revises the scope of the Rocklin, Auburn and Colfax Station Platforms project by substituting the Roseville station for the Colfax station, and by adjusting the funding for the Auburn station. In addition, it splits the Placer County Track Work and Colfax Layover project into the following two projects: A Track and Signal Improvements project near Auburn and a Third Track project around the Roseville yard. The combined Regional Improvement Program (RIP) and Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funds for all the projects remains the same. The Department is requesting Option B of this amendment. The Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) requests Option A of this amendment as presented.
(See Also Public Transit Committee Agenda Item 2.)

Refer to the PTC report under Item 1.5 for proceedings related to this item.

2.1a.(15) STIP Amendment 98S-118 - Action

Programs an additional \$10,000,000 as an advance of Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funds to rehabilitate historical buildings on Route 710 in Los Angeles County. The Department is requesting this amendment.

Caltrans District 7 Director Bob Sassaman reviewed the options for programming an additional \$10 million in Interregional Improvement Program funds for the rehabilitation of historical buildings on route 710 in South Pasadena.

Paul Huber, representing Senator Schiff, and Bill Chavez, representing the City of Alhambra spoke in support of rehabilitating the historical buildings.

The Commissioners discussed funding responsibilities and asked staff to prepare a letter to LACMTA advising them of Commission intent to fund baseline preservation of the historic buildings and inviting LACMTA to participate on any further enhancements to the rehabilitation for purposes of occupancy and report back at the July or August meeting.

ACTION: C. Oldham

Vice-Chairman Hallisey moved to hold this item over until the July or August meeting and to pursue local funding. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion which carried 6-0 (Commissioner Abernethy was absent).

2.1b. STIP Amendments for Notice**2.1b.(1) STIP Amendment 98S-136 - Notice**

Revises the programming of \$301,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds for Project Planning, Programming and Monitoring in Alpine, Amador, and Calaveras Counties, with no change in the three counties' unprogrammed share balances. Amador, Calaveras and Alpine Counties are requesting this amendment.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

2.1b.(2) STIP Amendment 98S-137 - Notice

Reduces the scope and \$6,628,000 in STIP funding for a four-lane expressway project on Route 49 near Auburn in Nevada County. The total reduction in STIP funding is from \$21,067,000 to \$14,439,000; the Regional Improvement Program (RIP) is reduced from \$9,603,000 to \$6,636,000 and the Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) from \$11,464,000 to \$7,803,000. The Nevada County Transportation Commission and the Department are requesting this amendment.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

2.1b.(3) STIP Amendment 98S-138 - Notice

Programs \$860,000 from Sierra County's Unprogrammed Balance for two new roadway rehabilitation projects and one new visitor center project in Sierra County. The Sierra County Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

2.1b.(4) STIP Amendment 98S-139 - Notice

Programs an additional \$734,000 from Tulare County's Unprogrammed Share Balance to increase funding for the environmental phase of the Avenue 416 four-lane expressway project in Tulare County to \$1,100,000. The \$1,860,000 programmed for the design phase remains unchanged. The Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG) is requesting this amendment.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

2.1b.(5) STIP Amendment 98S-140 – Notice

Programs \$610,000 from San Bernardino County's Unprogrammed Share balance for the San Bernardino Line Sidings Rail Project in Los Angeles County to construct the Fremont and Marengo sidings on the Southern California Regional Rail Authority (SCRRA) San Bernardino Rail Line. San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) is requesting the \$610,000 to be State-only funds. The total cost is \$7,084,000, including local contributions. The SCRRA and SANBAG are requesting this amendment.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

2.1b.(6) STIP Amendment 98S-141 – Notice

Programs an additional \$819,000 as an advance of the Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funds for the Interstate Route 605 Widen Freeway to Add High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes project in Orange County. The total project cost is now \$11,165,000. The Department is requesting this amendment.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

2.1b.(7) STIP Amendment 98S-142 - Notice

Programs \$1,319,000 from Ventura County's Unprogrammed Share Balance for a new four-lane roadway project to extend Rice Avenue in Ventura County. The total cost of the project is \$6,135,000, including local funding. The Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC) is requesting this amendment.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

2.1b.(8) STIP Amendment 98S-143 – Notice

Reprograms \$231,000 in Grandfathered Interregional Improvement Program (GF-IIP) funds from right of way to construction on a \$4,928,000 passing lane project on Route 395 in Mono County. The Department is requesting this amendment.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

2.1b.(9) STIP Amendment 98S-144 – Notice

Programs \$268,000 from Tehama County's Unprogrammed Balance to supplement a Proposition 116 project to purchase three vehicles. Total project cost is \$499,000. The Tehama County Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

2.1b.(10) STIP Amendment 98S-145 – Notice

Revises the funding spread and scope for the Washington Boulevard and Parkway Drive On- and Off-ramp project near Crescent City in Del Norte County. Right of Way funding will be displayed in FY 2000-01 to be consistent with the current project schedule. Total project cost of \$3,534,000 remains unchanged. The Department and the Del Norte Local Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

2.1c. TCI Amendments for Notice/Action

No Items This Month

2.1d. Proposition 116 - Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments**2.1d.(1) Modoc County**

Project Approval Amendment to reprogram \$60,120 in savings from two completed vehicle acquisition projects and \$55,320 in unallocated funds originally programmed for two park and bus lots to the Canby Bikeway Project. (Concurrent Allocation under Item 2.6b.(3) and Allocation Amendment under Item 2.6e.)
Resolution PA-00-____, Amending Resolution PA-95-06

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1d.(2) Nevada County

Project Approval Amendment to delete \$18,354 in cost savings from a transit vehicle project and reprogram the \$18,354 cost savings to an existing \$506,243 transit vehicle purchase project, for a new total of \$524,597. This amendment also requests to change the vehicle type from diesel to CNG transit buses. (Concurrent Allocation under 2.6b.(3) and Allocation Amendment 2.6e.)

Resolution PA-00-____, Amending Resolution PA-97-25

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1d.(3) Nevada County

Project Approval Amendment to delete a \$66,000 bus stop signs project and reprogram the \$66,000 for two new projects: (1) \$34,500 for a Transit Vehicle Turn-Pocket Project in Grass Valley; and, (2) \$31,500 for a system-wide bus shelter program project in Nevada County. (Concurrent Allocation under 2.6b.(3).)

Resolution PA-00-____, Amending Resolution PA-98-24

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1d.(4) Tuolumne County

Project Approval Amendment to increase funding by \$231,172 for the following projects: (1) Jamestown Pedestrian Facility Project by \$131,000, for a total of \$364,500; and, (2) Ferretti Road Pedestrian Facility Project by \$100,172, for a new total of \$185,172. (Concurrent Allocation under Item 2.6b.(3).)

Resolution PA-00-____, Amending Resolutions PA-00-04 and PA-96-05

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1e. Proposition 116 – Competitive Ferry Project Approvals/Amendments –

No Items This Month

2.1f. Amendment to 1999-2000 EEM Program and Project Allocation

- Amend Resolution G-99-20 (1999-2000 EEM Program) to delete the Department of Parks and Recreation Newfield Acquisition project for \$250,000 and add a new County of Sacramento Dry Creek Parkway Acquisition project for \$250,000.
Resolution G-00-____, Amending Resolution G-99-20.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.2 Environmental Matters**2.2a. Notices of Preparation of Environmental Impact Reports (EIR)**

- EIR for San Fernando Valley East-West Transit Corridor and Mid-City / Westside Transit Corridor

Deputy Director Robert Chung summarized the Notice of Preparation and possible comments suggested by staff in response to the Notice of Preparation.

Commissioner Lawrence discussed the Notice of Preparation and moved to send comments to LACMTA to incorporate into the environmental study for the San Fernando Valley and Mid-City Transit Corridors the following:

- Include in its environmental scoping of the two transit corridors an assessment of the alternatives to determine which one provides the most benefit for the projected life cycle cost. MTA should determine the estimated capital cost to construct each alternative, as well as the cost of operating and maintaining each of the alternatives;
- Address how the alternatives impact its ability to operate and fund the existing rail/bus system;
- Grade separations at 4 or 5 major arterials in the San Fernando Valley corridor particularly Van Nuys, Sepulveda, Balboa, Laurel Canyon and west end of the corridor;
- Possibility of street widening at Warner Center Transit Center to accommodate bus parking rather than taking away from existing lanes for the bus parking; and
- Examine the pros and cons of delivery of the San Fernando Valley Transit corridor between LACMTA and Los Angeles City Department of Transportation.

Commissioner Torres seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

ACTION: R. Chung

2.2b. Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIR)

No Items This Month

2.2c. Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIRs)

- Routes 5 and 113 in Yolo County - Construct direct connectors at the Route 5/113 Interchange in the City of Woodland (ND)
Resolution E-00-23

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

2.2d. Review of Environmental Documents Related to Commission Actions on the Current Agenda

- 2.2d.(1) Route 101 in Sonoma County - Construct HOV lanes in and near the Cities of Rohnert Park and Santa Rosa (ND)
(See related Item 2.5b.(1).)**

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

- 2.2d.(2) Route 99 in Sutter County – New public road connection to the State highway for access to a commercial development in Yuba City.
(See related Item 2.3b.(3).)**

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

- 2.2d.(3) Route 405 in Orange County – New public road connection to the State highway to improve the operation and local access in the City of Costa Mesa.
(See related Item 2.3b.(1).)**

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

2.3 Highway Route Matters**2.3a. Route Adoptions**

- Adoption of a freeway location for Route 101 in the City and County of San Francisco from Kilometer Post 7.6 at South Van Ness Avenue to Kilometer Post R8.2 at Fell Street.
Resolution HRA 00-4

This item was withdrawn by the Department prior to the meeting.

2.3b. New Public Road Connections

- 2.3b.(1) Approval of New Public Road Connections to Route 405 at Avenue of the Arts and at Anton Boulevard in the City of Costa Mesa at Kilometer Post 12.6/15.8 (PM R8.9/R11.7).
Resolution S-707**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.3b.(2) Approval of New Public Road Connection to Route 1 at Rice Avenue in the City of Oxnard at Kilometer Post 24.1 (PM 15.0).
Resolution S-708**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.3b.(3) Approval of New Public Road Connection to Route 99**
at The Marketplace Road in the City of Yuba City at Kilometer
Post 48.8 (PM 30.3).
Resolution S-709

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.3c. Five Relinquishment Resolutions

- 04-Mrn-101-PM 16.8/18.3 Route 101 in the City of Novato.
Resolution R-3449
- 04-SF-101-PM G4.7/5.3 Route 101 in the City and County of San
Francisco.
Resolution R-3450
- 05-SB-144-PM 0.0/0.9 Route 144 in the City of Santa Barbara.
Resolution R-3451
- 06-Fre-180-PM 58.0/61.4 Route 180 in the City of Fresno.
Resolution R-3452
- 11-SD-56-PM 11.6 Route 56 in the City of Poway.
Resolution R-3453

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.3d. Vacation Resolutions

No Items This Month

2.4 Highway Right of Way Matters

2.4a. Resolutions of Necessity – Appearances

- Imperial West Chemical Corporation
06-Ker-58-179.78
C-18115

Chief Deputy Director Pete Hathaway reviewed the proposed action, noting that the property owner would not appear to contest the Resolution of Necessity.

Commissioner Kozberg moved to approve the Resolution of Necessity. Commissioner Wolf seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

2.4b. 4 Resolutions of Necessity
C-18117, C-18118, C-11820, and C-18121

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.4c. Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations

No Items This Month

2.4d. Director's Deeds

- Items #1 through 4
Excess Lands - Return to State: \$98,700
Return to Others: \$0

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.5 Highway Financial Matters**2.5a. Financial Allocation for 18 Minor Projects totaling \$7,270,700 plus \$13,824,395 from other sources.
Resolution FP-99-83**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.5b. Financial Allocation for STIP/SHOPP/TEA Projects on the State Highway System

- 2.5b.(1) 28 STIP Projects totaling \$326,245,000 plus \$37,820,000
from other sources.
Resolution FP-99-84

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.5b.(2) 20 SHOPP Projects totaling \$106,584,000.
Resolution FP-99-85

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.5b.(3) Ten SHOPP Projects Amended into the SHOPP
by Department Action totaling \$25,895,000.
Resolution FP-99-86

Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, reviewed the ten new SHOPP projects. Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the amendment. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

- 2.5b.(4) Two SHOPP Administered TEA Projects for \$596,000.
Resolution FP-99-87

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.5c. Financial Allocation for STIP/TEA/CL/EEM Projects off the State Highway System

- 2.5c.(1) 43 Local Assistance STIP Projects totaling \$44,867,000
plus \$32,930,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-99-88

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.5c.(2) One Local Assistance RIP/TEA Project for \$226,000
plus \$15,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-99-89

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.5c.(3) 18 Local Assistance TEA Projects totaling \$11,448,000
plus \$2,720,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-99-90

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.5c.(4) Two Conservation Lands CL Projects totaling \$5,600,000
plus \$6,250,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-99-91

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.5c.(5) Nine EEM Projects totaling \$2,107,233 plus \$1,719,134
from other sources.
Resolution FP-99-92

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

This area intentionally left blank

**2.5d. Financial Allocation for Projects with Costs Greater than 120 Percent of Program Amount - Nine Projects totaling \$81,120,000.
Resolution FP-99-93**

- 01-Lak-29 – SHOPP Project in Lake County on Route 29. Replace bridge. Current programmed value of \$4,040,000 and current estimate of \$5,200,000, for a 28 percent increase over programmed amount.
- 03-Sut-20 – SHOPP Project in Sutter County on Route 20. Modify interchange. Current programmed value of \$1,663,000 and current estimate of \$2,260,000, for a 36 percent increase over programmed amount.
- 04-Ala, CC-24 – SHOPP Project in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties on Route 24. Upgrade safety lighting. Current programmed value of \$4,346,000 and current estimate of \$7,000,000, for a 61 percent increase over programmed amount.
- 04-SM-280 – SHOPP Project in San Mateo County on Route 280. Upgrade safety lighting. Current programmed value of \$976,000 and current estimate of \$3,000,000, for a 207 percent increase over programmed amount.
- 07-LA-5 – SHOPP Project in Los Angeles County on Route 5. Rehabilitate roadway. Current programmed value of \$9,558,000 and current estimate of \$12,800,000, for a 34 percent increase over programmed amount.
- 08-SBd-15 – SHOPP Project in San Bernardino County on Route 15. Replace bridge. Current programmed value of \$6,239,000 and current estimate of \$10,041,000, for a 61 percent increase over programmed amount.
- 08-SBd-40 – SHOPP Project in San Bernardino County on Route 40. Rehabilitate roadway. Current programmed value of \$15,493,000 and current estimate of \$30,775,000, for a 99 percent increase over programmed amount.
- 08-SBd-15, 40 – SHOPP Project in San Bernardino County on Routes 15 and 40. Rehabilitate roadway. Current programmed value of \$2,621,000 and current estimate of \$4,842,000, for a 85 percent increase over programmed amount.
- 10-Mer-99, 59, 140 – SHOPP Project in Merced County on Routes 99, 59 and 140. Modify ramps and signalize. Current programmed value of \$2,501,000 and current estimate of \$5,222,000, for a 108 percent increase over programmed amount.

Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, reviewed the projects and explained the cost increases. Commissioner Abernethy moved to approve the allocation. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

**2.5e. Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects
Three Projects for \$6,360,000.
Resolution FA-99-08**

03-Pla-80 – SHOPP Project in Placer County. Original vote \$16,650,000. Current allocation \$12,610,000. This request of \$6,000,000 for an on-going project for a grand total of \$18,610,000. 48 percent increase over award.

07-Ven-33 - SHOPP Project in Ventura County. Original vote \$1,800,000. Current allocation \$3,089,000. This request of \$110,000 for an on-going project, for a grand total of \$3,199,000. Four percent increase over award.

10-SJ-26 – SHOPP Project in San Joaquin County. Original vote \$566,000. Current allocation \$716,300. This request of \$250,000 for on-going project for a grand total of \$966,300. Four percent increase over award.

Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, reviewed the projects and explained why supplemental funds were required. Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the supplemental funds. Commissioner Kozberg seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

2.5f. Information Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority

2.5f.(1) Emergency: G-11 Allocations
Five Projects totaling \$1,510,000.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

2.5f.(2) Phase I Seismic Retrofit: G-11 Allocations
One Project for \$677,000.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

2.5f.(3) Phase II Seismic Retrofit: G-11 Allocations
Three Projects totaling \$4,458,000.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

2.5f.(4) SHOPP Rehabilitation: G-00-10 Allocations
15 Projects totaling \$62,662,000.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

2.5f.(5) SHOPP Safety: G-00-10 Allocations
Eight Projects totaling \$17,615,000.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 2.5f.(6) Local Assistance Allocation for Local Storm Damage and Local Street and Road Pavement Rehabilitation: G-99-09 Allocations: 54 Projects totaling \$17,880,000.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

**2.5g. Supplemental 1999-00 Right of Way allocation of \$10,000,000.
Resolution FM-00-02**

Denny Shields, Caltrans, reviewed the supplemental right-of-way allocation.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the allocation. Commissioner Wolf seconded the motion which carried 7-0

**2.5h. Fiscal Year 2000-01 Right of Way allocation of \$195,000,000.
Resolution FM-00-03**

Denny Shields, Caltrans, reviewed the right of way allocation for FY 2000-01. Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the allocation. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

**2.5i. Fiscal Year 2000-01 Local Assistance Allocation of \$1,103,174,000.
Resolution FM-00-04**

Norma Ortega, Caltrans, reviewed the allocation for FY 2000-01 for Local Assistance. Commissioner Torres moved to approve the allocation. Commissioner Abernethy seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

**2.5j. Financial Allocation for Eureka Non-Freeway Alternative Project.
One project for \$195,000.
Resolution FP-99-94**

Refer to Item 4.13 for proceedings related to this item.

Commissioner Kozberg included this allocation in his motion to approve the Eureka Non-Freeway Funding Policy (Item 4.13). Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 7-0.

2.6 Mass Transportation Financial Matters

2.6a. Financial Allocation for Local Transit Capital Improvement (TCI) Program Projects

- 2.6a.(1) One TCI Program Project for \$1,000,000 for the City of Folsom Intermodal Station project.
Resolution MFP-99-26

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.6a.(2) One TCI Program Project for \$324,000 for the Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District Larkspur Ferry Intermodal Facility Expansion and Improvements project.
Resolution MFP-99-27

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.6a.(3) One TCI Program Project for \$500,000 for the County of Los Angeles Chinatown Intermodal Improvements project.
Resolution MFP-99-28

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.6a.(4) One TCI Program Project for \$992,000 for the City of Indio Intermodal Transportation Center project.
Resolution MFP-99-23

Deputy Director Robert Chung reviewed the project and allocation. He recommended that the Commission not approve the allocation, since the preliminary engineering and design were not complete and under SB 45 one phase must be complete prior to the grantee seeking the next allocation.

Michael Wilson, City of Indio Councilmember, spoke in support of the project and urged the Commission to approve the allocation.

Gary Werner, City of Indio, spoke in support of the project. He also spoke in support of Item 2.8c.(1).

Commissioner Wolf moved to not allocate the funds for this project. Vice Chairman Hallisey seconded the motion, which passed 6-0 (Commissioner Abernethy was absent).

- 2.6a.(5) One TCI Program Project for \$72,000 for the City of Tracy Multimodal Rail Station project.
Resolution MFP-99-30

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.6a.(6) One TCI Program Project for \$368,000 for the San Diego Metropolitan Transit Development Board Midcoast Commuter Rail Station project.
Resolution MFP-99-31

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.6b. Financial Vote for Proposition 116 Program Projects

- 2.6b.(1) Local Proposition 116 Rail Program Project

No Items This Month

2.6b.(2) State Proposition 116 Rail Program Project

No Items This Month

2.6b.(3) Six Local Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Program Projects for \$760,537
Resolution BFP-99-16

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.6b.(4) Proposition 116 Competitive Bike Program Project

No Items This Month

2.6c. Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit Projects2.6c.(1) Eight Local STIP Rail/Transit Projects for \$9,875,000
Resolution MFP-99-32

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.6c.(2) One Local STIP Rail/Transit for \$3,991,000 from the Municipal
Transportation Agency Woods Motor Coach Maintenance Facility and
Fuel Wash Improvements project.
Resolution MFP-99-33

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.6c.(3) Three State STIP Intercity Rail Projects for \$1,270,000
Resolution MFP-99-34

Wayne Lewis presented the allocation request of \$1,270,000 for the Roseville Station improvements, Auburn Station improvements, and the Auburn Signal and Track improvements less \$950,000 that was tabled by the PTC pending resolution of the funding for the Roseville Third Track.

Executive Director Remen discussed the PTC's action from the previous day to hold out \$950,000.

Commissioner Abernethy moved to approve the allocation of \$1,270,000 for the Roseville Station improvements, Auburn Station improvements, and the Auburn Signal and Track improvements, but withhold approval of the \$950,000 for the Roseville Third Track project. Commissioner Wolf seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

2.6d. Financial Allocation for Multi-Program Funded Projects

No Items This Month

2.6e. Allocation Amendment – Proposition 116 Non Urban County Program

Deallocate \$2,944 in cost savings from a transit van acquisition project in Modoc County; deallocate \$57,176 in cost savings from the purchase of four transit vehicles in Modoc County; and deallocate \$18,354 in cost savings from a transit vehicle acquisition project in Nevada County; deallocate \$56,524 in cost savings from the Sacramento Regional Transit District Mather Field Light Rail Extension project, and deallocate \$164,062 in cost savings the Sacramento Regional Transit District South Sacramento light rail Extension project.
(Concurrent PA Amendments under 2.1d.(1) and 2.1d.(2).)
Resolution BFA-99-10, Amending Resolutions BFP-94-46, BFP-95-06, BFP-98-17, BFA-97-05, and BFP-95-10.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.7 Aeronautics Financial Matters

- Allocation of \$399,000 for FY 1999-00 California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) funds for four projects advanced from the 2000 Aeronautics Program.
Resolution FDOA-2000-4
 - County of Kern – Taft Airport in Kern County
\$105,000 – Overlay Runway 7/25
 - County of Kern – Wasco Airport in Kern County
\$100,000 – Overlay Runway 12/30
 - City of Oroville – Oroville Municipal Airport in Butte County
\$157,000 - Overlay Taxiway “R”
 - County of Mono – Bryant Field Airport in Mono County
\$37,000 – Slurry seal and remark runway

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.8 Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-99-27, STIP Guidelines, Section 59 – Timely Use of Funds / Prop 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests**2.8a. Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation**

Executive Director presented Items 2.8a.(1-4), 2.8b.(1-3), 2.8c.(2), and 2.8e.(1-4). He noted that Caltrans recommended approval except Items 2.8b.(2) and 2.8e.(1).

Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, reported that Caltrans recommended approval of waiver requests except for Item 2.8b(2) where Caltrans recommended a 6 month extension, Item 2.8c(2) where Caltrans recommended a 20 month extension and Item 2.8e.(1) where Caltrans recommended a 12 month extension.

Executive Director Remen noted that staff concurred with the Caltrans recommendation.

Commissioner Wolf moved to approve Items 2.8a.(1-4), Item 2.8b.(1 and 3), and Item 2.8e.(2-4) and Items 2.8b.(2), 2.8c(2), and 2.8e.(1) as amended. Commissioner Kozberg seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

- 2.8a.(1) Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for 30 Local Assistance STIP projects totaling \$23,858,925.
Waiver-00-33

Refer to Item 2.8 for proceedings related to this item.

- 2.8a.(2) Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for five State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) projects; one for the Sacramento Regional Transit District for \$30,000; one for the Riverside County Transportation Commission for \$5,211,000; one for the City of Porterville for \$611,000; one for the City of Santa Paula for \$200,000; and one for the City of Visalia for \$263,000.
Wavier-00-34

Refer to Item 2.8 for proceedings related to this item.

- 2.8a.(3) Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for STIP projects totaling \$67,525,000 and programmed in FY 1999-2000, including; \$5,561,000 for two projects in Los Angeles County; \$12,479,000 for one projects in Orange County; \$18,336,000 for one project in Santa Clara County; \$651,000 for one project in San Luis Obispo County; \$540,000 for one project in Yolo County; and \$29,958,000 for one project in Yuba County.
Wavier-00-35

Refer to Item 2.8 for proceedings related to this item.

- 2.8a.(4) Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for five Intercity Rail STIP projects for the Department of Transportation totaling \$13,268,000.
Waiver-00-36

Refer to Item 2.8 for proceedings related to this item.

2.8b. Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award

- 2.8b.(1) Request to Extend the Period of Project Award for six Local Assistance STIP projects totaling \$2,876,242.
Wavier-00-37

Refer to Item 2.8 for proceedings related to this item.

- 2.8b.(2) Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for four Transit Capital Improvement (TCI) Program projects; one for the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority for \$1,834,000; two for the Sacramento Regional Transit District for \$2,850,000; one for the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority for \$134,000.
Waiver-00-38

Refer to Item 2.8 for proceedings related to this item.

- 2.8b.(3) Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for one State Transportation Improvement program (STIP) project for the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District for \$5,692,000.
Waiver-00-39

Refer to Item 2.8 for proceedings related to this item.

2.8c. Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion

- 2.8c.(1) Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for one Transit Capital Improvement project in the City of Indio for \$127,000. To date, \$10,750 has been reimbursed by the State to the local agency.
Waiver-00-26

Deputy Director Robert Chung presented the waiver request and pointed out that the previous Commission action under Agenda Item 2.6a(4) left the construction of the project partially funded.

Gary Werner, City of Indio, discussed funding for the project.

After some discussion, Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the request to extend the period of project construction. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

- 2.8c.(2) Request to Extend the Period of Contract Completion for two State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) projects for the Sacramento Regional Transit District for \$14,156,335. To date, \$5,662,435 of the \$14,156,335 has been reimbursed by the State to the local agency.
Waiver-00-40

Refer to Item 2.8 for proceedings related to this item.

2.8d. Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement

No Items This Month

2.8e. Request for Time Extension per Resolution G-99-25, Commission's Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring, and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects

- 2.8e.(1) Request for Waiver to Extend the Period of Contract Award under Resolution G-99-25, for one City of Vallejo project totaling \$396,304.
Waiver-00-41

Refer to Item 2.8 for proceedings related to this item.

- 2.8e.(2) Request for Waiver to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement under Resolution G-99-25, for one County of Los Angeles project totaling \$19,365,000 and one County of Imperial project totaling \$2,178,924. To date, \$13,492,439, of the \$21,543.924 allocated, has been reimbursed by the State to the local agencies.
Waiver-00-42

Refer to Item 2.8 for proceedings related to this item.

- 2.8e.(3) Request from the City of Los Angeles to extend the Period of Project Completion under Resolution G-99-25 for the Watts Walk and Bikeway Project for \$99,500. To date, no reimbursements have been made to the City for this project.
Waiver 00-43

Refer to Item 2.8 for proceedings related to this item.

- 2.8e.(4) Request from the City of Stockton to extend the Period of Project Completion under Resolution G-99-25 for the Calaveras River Bike path Extension Project for \$202,000. To date, the City has received \$44,460 in reimbursements for the project. The balance is \$157,539.
Waiver 00-44

Refer to Item 2.8 for proceedings related to this item.

2.9 Technical Changes to Previously Approved Resolutions

- 2.9a. Replacement Resolution FP-99-63, originally approved March 30, 2000, in the amount of \$13,066,500 for twenty-nine (29) minor construction projects. Delete project #5 – Replacement Planting project in San Mateo County for \$255,500 revising Resolution FP-99-63 to reflect a total allocation of State and Federal funds of \$12,811,000 for twenty-eight (28) minor construction projects. Project #5 – Replacement Planting project in San Mateo County for \$256,000 is moved to Replacement Resolution FP-99-64: "Allocations for State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) on the State Highway System" under agenda Item 2.9(b).

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.9b. Replacement Resolution FP-99-64, originally approved March 30, 2000, in the amount of \$60,655,000 for ten (10) major STIP construction projects. Add project #11 – Replacement Planting project in San Mateo County for \$256,000 revising Resolution FP-99-64 to reflect a total allocation of State and Federal funds of \$60,911,000 for eleven (11) major STIP construction projects. The Replacement Planting project in San Mateo County for \$255,500 was deleted from Replacement Resolution FP-99-63: "Allocations for Minor Projects" under agenda Item 2.9(a).

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

3. PROGRAM STATUS

3.1 Caltrans Monthly FY 1999-2000 Finance Report

Ileen Jellison, Caltrans, reviewed the Monthly Finance Report, which showed the State Highway Account cash balance at \$1.8 billion, and presented a new four-year cash forecast.

3.2 Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

3.3 Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action

Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, reviewed the projects amended into the SHOPP by Department action.

3.4 Local Program Delivery

3.4a. Monthly Status Report

Randy Rentschler, MTC, and Regional Agencies Moderator Vic Kamhi reviewed project delivery by local agencies, observing it had been energized by new legislation and Commission policy.

3.4b. Status Report on State Route 101/Bailey Avenue Interchange Project

Randy Rentschler, MTC presented the Route 101/Bailey Avenue Interchange project status report. Commissioners expressed concern regarding delay to the project and requested a conference call between Commissioner Hallisey; Executive Director, Robert Remen; Randy Rentschler and Steve Hemenger; MTC and Jim Nicholas, Caltrans.

ACTION: R. Remen

4. POLICY MATTERS

4.1 State Legislative Matters

Deputy Director Chuck Oldham presented an analysis of AB 1930 (Scott) and SB 1497 (Schiff) - identical bills concerning Route 710 – South Pasadena. He recommended watching the bills and adopting a neutral position.

Paul Huber, representing Senator Schiff, asked the Commission to adopt a support or neutral position on the legislation.

Rufus Young, Jr., City of Alhambra, asked the Commission to take a neutral or oppose position on the legislation.

Bill Chavez, City of Alhambra, urged the Commission to oppose the bills.

Tricia Hunter, City of Alhambra, urged to Commission to oppose the bills.

Tony Rossman, City of South Pasadena, spoke in support of the bills.

Beatrice Siev, spoke in support of the legislation.

Commissioner Wolf moved to adopt a neutral position on AB 1930 and SB 1497. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion which carried 6-0 (Commissioner Abernethy was absent).

4.2 Workshop on Governor's Transportation Initiative

John Ferrera, BT&H Agency, reviewed the Governor's Transportation Initiative.

The Commissioners discussed the Initiative and asked staff to organize a meeting of the task force appointed to implement the Governor's Initiative and develop a course of action and workshop for the July Commission meeting.

ACTION: R. Remen

4.3 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)

4.3a. Adoption of Schedule for 2000 STIP

Deputy Director David Brewer presented the proposed schedule for the 2000 STIP.

Regional Agencies Moderator Vic Kamhi discussed concerns about flexibility and future changes after the Governor's Transportation Initiative is adopted.

After discussion, Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the schedule. Commissioner Abernethy seconded the motion which carried 6-0 (Vice Chairman Hallisey was absent).

4.3b. Notice of Proposed Amendments to STIP Guidelines

Deputy Director David Brewer summarized the proposed amendments to the STIP Guidelines noting they would be presented for adoption in July.

The following action items resulted from discussion on this item:

Encourage greater early consultation between Caltrans and Regions to coordinate ITIP and RTIP funds in particular helping fund projects in the Governor's Initiative.

ACTION: D. Brewer

Incorporate into the Draft Guidelines language recognizing added flexibility in the submission of RTIPS and ITIPs to allow regions and Caltrans to consider utilizing STIP resources toward completion of funding for projects in the Governor's Initiative.

ACTION: D. Brewer

**4.3c. Adoption of Revised Fund Estimate for 2000 STIP
Resolution G-00-____, Amending Resolutions G-99-28 and G-99-23**

Ileen Jellison, Caltrans, reviewed the Revised Fund Estimate.

The Commissioners discussed the revision, settling on \$390 million for the SHOPP and \$1025 million for the STIP. Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the Revised Fund Estimate. Commissioner Kozberg seconded the motion which carried 7-0. Staff was directed to finalize, publish, post on the Internet, and distribute the adopted 2000 STIP Fund Estimate.

ACTION: I. Jellison/D. Brewer

4.4 State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP)

**4.4a. Policy for Programming of SHOPP Project Costs
Resolution G-00-____**

Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the draft resolution for programming SHOPP project costs.

Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the Resolution. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion which carried 7-0.

4.4b. Amendments to 2000 SHOPP

Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, presented an update of the SHOPP and summarized the additional funding and new projects.

**4.4c. Report of Unprogrammed SHOPP Projects with Project Development
Underway or Completed**

Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, summarized the SHOPP projects with project development underway or completed, and noted \$300 million of projects were now about ready to advertise. Commissioner Wolf expressed his support for Caltrans bringing those projects forward for funding.

**4.5 Adoption of First Round of Statewide Transportation Enhancements Program
Resolution G-00-____**

Chairman Kellogg noted for the record that Commissioner Kozberg had left the room and would not participate in discussion or action on this item.

Chief Deputy Director Pete Hathaway reviewed the projects proposed for programming in the first round of the Statewide Transportation Enhancements Program.

Commissioner Torres moved to approve the resolution. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the program which carried 5-0 (Commissioners Abernethy and Kozberg were absent).

4.6 Adoption of Amendment to the Capital Improvement Plan Element of the California Aviation System Plan for Two Airports: Lompoc and California City

Wayne Lewis, Caltrans, presented the adoption to the amendment to the Capital Improvement Plan Element of the CASP for the Lompoc and California City airports.

Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the Amendment. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion which carried 6-0 (Commissioner Kozberg was absent).

4.7 AB 1012 Loan Program**4.7a. Consideration of Financial Consultant List and Amendments to Guidelines for the AB 1012 Loan Program
Resolution G-00-____, Replacing Resolution G-00-06**

Wayne Lewis, Caltrans, reviewed the changes to the guidelines.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the Resolution. Commissioner Wolf seconded the motion which carried 5-0 (Commissioners Hallisey and Kozberg were absent).

**4.7b. Six-month Estimate of Loan Capacity under AB 1012 Approval of Funding Level
Resolution G-00-____**

Ileen Jellison, Caltrans, discussed the six month estimate of loan capacity under AB 1012 and the approval of funding level.

Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the Resolution with a loan capacity of \$300 million. Commissioner Abernethy seconded the motion which carried 6-0 (Commissioner Kozberg was absent).

4.8 Closeout Report on FY 1999-2000 Right of Way Program

Denny Shields, Caltrans, presented an update on the right of way allocation. He also discussed the criteria for requesting a hardship for purchasing right of way.

4.9 Rural Counties Issues**4.9a. Rural Counties Task Force Bi-annual Report**

Charles Field, Rural Counties Task Force, discussed the rural counties' priorities and funding needs.

4.9b. Rural Counties Workshop Report on Status of Planning Funds

Wayne Lewis, Caltrans, presented the workshop report on the status of planning funds.

Charles Field, Rural Counties Task Force, expressed appreciation for the Commission's support.

Brenda Mitchell, Sierra County Transportation Commission, asked the Commission to continue to fund local assistance.

4.10 Presentation by SCAG on New Exposure to Non-Conformity

Mark Pisano, SCAG, discussed SCAG's potential problem with air quality conformity.

The Commissioners discussed the issues and asked staff to secure from SCAG their final proposals for overcoming the current projected ROG shortfall and prepare letters of support from the Commission to the appropriate control agencies.

ACTION: C. Oldham

4.11 Report by San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) on Coronado Bridge Alternatives for Elimination of Tolls

Craig Scott, SANDAG, discussed the fiscal goals, congestion problems, draws on toll revenue, and options to pay for capital projects on the bridge. He noted that at some point the Department would have to begin funding bridge capital improvements through the SHOPP, which could affect the ability to drop tolls.

Executive Director Robert Remen observed that SANDAG had decided to complete environmental studies before considering elimination of tolls, because of controversy in the City of Coronado, and the process would be lengthy enough that the Commission should set this issue aside, at least for now. Commissioners then discussed alternate ideas to trim toll collection costs with Mr. Scott.

4.12 Reimbursement from Proposition 192 Funds for Prior Year Seismic Expenditures.

This item was withdrawn by the Department prior to the meeting.

**4.13 Eureka Non-Freeway Alternative Project Funding Policy
(See related Item 2.5j.)
Resolution G-00-____**

Scott McGowen, Caltrans, reviewed the funding available from rescission of the Route 101 Eureka bypass freeway, presented the first alternative project to improve the existing Route 101 corridor through the city, and noted the request for allocation of \$195,000 for project support to start the first project (refer to Item 2.5j).

The Commissioners discussed the item and directed staff to develop timelines for history of the Eureka Bypass and present them at the July meeting.

ACTION: S. Maller/J. Nicholas

Spencer Clifton, Humboldt Council of Governments, and Brent Siegler, City of Eureka, briefly explained how local agencies had decided on the first project, and noted further projects were being developed and would be brought forward.

Commissioner Kozberg moved to approve the policy resolution and the allocation (Item 2.5j). Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which passed 7-0.

5. MODAL ISSUES

5.1 Rail

No Items This Month

5.2 Highways

- Update on Border Crossings

Gary Gallegos, Caltrans, reviewed the San Diego / Tijuana border crossing issues.

The Commissioners discussed the issues and the following action items resulted:

- Explore possibility of relocating the November Meeting to San Diego.

ACTION: R. Remen

- Return in July with clarification on implementation schedule for Route 11 improvements including the plan to begin the environmental assessment process as quickly as possible.

ACTION: G. Gallegos

- Identify and report back on smaller, perhaps non-capital, opportunities, such as 24-hour truck processing, for reducing waiting time to cross the international border.

ACTION: G. Gallegos

- Report back on the potential for an international airport to be developed straddling the California-Mexico border at Rodriguez Field.

ACTION: G. Gallegos

5.2 Transit

No Items This Month

6. OTHER MATTERS

There being no further business, Commissioner Torres moved to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Wolf seconded the motion and the meeting adjourned at 12:55 p.m.

Robert I. Remen, Executive Director

ITEM 1.(1)

STATUS OF ACTION ITEMS

from
June 14-15, 2000 Meeting

- PTC1. Robert Chung – Invite Gil Mallery to appear before Public Transit Committee to present Amtrak's new 5-year business plan and review it against prior 5-year plan presented to CTC in October 1995.
- **This has been done and is set for Sept. 2000 Public Transit Committee meeting.**
- PTC2. Robert Chung/Gene Skoropowski (CCJPA) – Document added benefits, including improved on-time reliability, from 3rd track project. Also include capability for added trains vs. realistic schedule for deploying those trains. (*July Agenda Item.*)
- **This will be discussed under July Public Transit Committee Agenda Item 1 and regular Commission meeting Agenda Item 2.1a(13).**
- PTC2. Robert Chung – Schedule meeting of representatives from Placer County, SACOG, the Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority, Amtrak and Union Pacific to discuss a partnership for completing both the design and construction of the Roseville third track.
- **The meeting was held Friday, July 7 and will be reported under July Public Transit Committee Agenda Item 1 and regular Commission meeting Agenda Item 2.1a(13).**
- PTC3. Robert Chung – Schedule for action at July meeting; invite City of Alameda to attend and clarify how they intend to use any recovered proceeds above legal costs. (*July Agenda Item*)
- **This will be discussed under July Public Transit Committee Agenda Item 2 and regular Commission meeting Agenda Item 2.1a(11).**
- HIMC1. Jim Nicholas/Bob Hull – Schedule for July meeting and monthly thereafter, update on schedule for Prunedale Bypass with target of reducing delivery time in half. (*July, monthly Agenda Item*)
- **This will be discussed under July Highway and Interregional Mobility Committee Agenda Item 1 and regular Commission Item 3.4c and reported monthly thereafter.**
- HIMC1. Stephen Maller/Jim Nicholas – Present timeline history of Prunedale Bypass at July meeting.
- **This will be discussed under July Highway and Interregional Mobility Committee Agenda Item 1 and Agenda Item 3.4c and reported monthly thereafter.**
- HIMC2. Jim Nicholas – Schedule for Sept. meeting update of projects in Rt. 1 corridor at Carmel.
- **This will be reported at Sept. 2000 Highway and Interregional Committee meeting.**
- HIMC3. Gary Bush – Schedule status report on efforts of joint development of roadside rests.
- **This will be reported at Jan. 2001 Highway and Interregional Committee meeting.**

- HIMC4. Tony Harris – Continue to brief Vice Chair Hallisey on Caltrans organizational issues.
- **This will be done.**
- 1.(5) Robert Remen – Seek Agency support for using Prunedale Bypass as case study for environmental streamlining.
- **This request has been made.**
- 1.(5) Jim Nicholas – Schedule for July CTC meeting a report by Caltrans on how to fully fund the Western Ave./I-5 Interchange with transfer of ITIP funds in Los Angeles Co. *(July Item)*
- **This will be discussed under July Highway and Interregional Mobility Committee Agenda Item 2 and regular Commission meeting Agenda Item 3.4d.**
- 1.(9) Robert Chung – Secure from San Jose officials projections of ridership for BART to San Jose.
- **San Jose has committed to provide ridership projections in the near future.**
- 2.1a(15) Chuck Oldham – Prepare letter to LACMTA advising of CTC intent to fund baseline preservation of historic housing and invite LACMTA to participate on any further enhancements and report back at July or August meeting. *(July or August Agenda Item)*
- **This has been done.**
- 2.2a Robert Chung – Transmit to LACMTA, the following comments to incorporate into MTA's environmental study for the San Fernando Valley and Mid-City Transit Corridors including:
- assess alternatives to determine most beneficial for projected life cycle cost; for each alternative, determine estimated capital cost, as well as cost of operating and maintaining;
 - address how alternatives impact MTA's ability to operate and fund existing rail/bus system;
 - examine grade separations at 4 or 5 major arterials in the San Fernando Valley corridor particularly Van Nuys, Sepulveda, Balboa, Laurel Canyon and west end of the corridor;
 - examine possibility of street widening at Warner Center Transit Center to accommodate bus parking rather than taking away from existing lanes for the bus parking; and
 - examine pros and cons of delivery of the San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor between LACMTA and Los Angeles City Department of Transportation.
 - **This has been done.**
- 3.4b Therese McMillan (MTC) – Schedule briefing with Vice-Chairman Hallisey, Caltrans, CTC staff, on status of outlook for Bailey Road Interchange project clarifying what needs to be done to keep project's construction on schedule this year. *(Monthly Agenda Item)*
- **This will be discussed under July Agenda Items 3.4b, 2.1a(12), 2.2d, 2.3b, 2.5b(7).**

- 4.2 Robert Remen – Organize meeting of task force appointed to implement Governor's Initiative including representatives of Caltrans, BT&H Agency and Regional Agencies and develop a course of action and a workshop for the July Commission meeting. (*July Agenda Item*)
- **This will be discussed under July Agenda Item 4.2.**
- 4.3b David Brewer – Encourage greater early consultation between Caltrans and Regions to coordinate ITIP and RTIP funds in particular helping to fund projects in Governor's Initiative.
- **This will be done as part of work-up of projects for 2000 STIP.**
- 4.3b David Brewer – Incorporate into Draft Guidelines language recognizing added flexibility in the submission of RTIPs and ITIPs to allow regions and Caltrans to consider utilizing STIP resources toward completion of funding for projects in the Governor's Initiative.
- **This has been done.**
- 4.3c I. Jellison/D. Brewer – Finalize, publish, post on Internet, distribute 2000 STIP Fund Estimate.
- **This has been done.**
- 4.10 Chuck Oldham – Secure from SCAG its final proposals for overcoming current projected ROG shortfall and prepare letter of support from the Commission to the appropriate control agencies.
- **SCAG has committed to provide recommendations and appropriate recipients.**
- 4.13 Stephen Maller/Jim Nicholas – Present timelines for history of Eureka Bypass at July meeting.
- **This will be done under July Highway and Interregional Committee Agenda Item 3.**
- 5.2 Robert Remen – Explore possibility of relocating the November Commission meeting.
- **This has been done and will be reported on under Item 1.5.**
- 5.2 Gary Gallegos – Return in July with clarification on implementation schedule including the plan to begin the environmental assessment process as quickly as possible. (*July Agenda Item*)
- **This will be discussed under July Agenda Item 5.2.**
- 5.2 Gary Gallegos – Identify smaller, non-capital opportunities (i.e., 24-hr truck processing.)
- **This will be discussed under July Agenda Item 5.2.**
- 5.2 Gary Gallegos – Report on potential for international airport straddling California-Mexico border at Rodriguez field.
- **This will be discussed under July Agenda Item 5.2.**